DH

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

[redacted]

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

File: SRC 02 180 54097 Office: Texas Service Center Date: APR 01 2003

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiaries:

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)

PUBLIC COPY

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:
This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of $110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, who certified her decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for review. The decision of the director will be affirmed.

The petitioner is engaged in the business of providing long distance transport and delivery of freight. It desires to employ the beneficiaries as tractor-trailer drivers for one year. The Department of Labor determined that a temporary labor certification by the Secretary of Labor could not be made. The director determined that the positions being offered are not temporary in nature.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii), defines an H-2B temporary worker as:

> an alien...having a residence in a foreign country which he has no intention of abandoning, who is coming temporarily to the United States to perform other temporary service or labor if unemployed persons capable of performing such service or labor cannot be found in this country, but this clause shall not apply to graduates of medical schools coming to the United States to perform services as members of the medical profession....

Matter of Artee Corp., 18 I&N Dec. 366 (Comm. 1982), codified in current regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6)(ii), specified that the test for determining whether an alien is coming "temporarily" to the United States to "perform temporary services or labor" is whether the need of the petitioner for the duties to be performed is temporary. It is the nature of the need, not the nature of the duties, that is controlling. *See* 55 Fed. Reg. 2616 (1990).

As a general rule, the period of the petitioner's need must be a year or less, although there may be extraordinary circumstances where the temporary services or labor might last longer than one year. The petitioner's need for the services or labor must be a one-time occurrence, a seasonal need, a peakload need, or an intermittent need. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6)(ii)(B).

The petition indicates that the employment is peakload. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6)(ii)((B)(3) states that for the nature of the petitioner's need to be a peakload need, the petitioner must establish that it regularly employs permanent workers to perform the services or labor at the place of employment and that it needs to supplement its permanent staff at the place of employment on a temporary basis due to a seasonal or short-term demand and that the temporary additions to staff will not become a part of the petitioner's regular operation.

The nontechnical description of the job on the Application for Alien Employment Certification (Form ETA 750) reads:

Truck Driving	Drive diesel-powered tractor-trailer combination over the road to transport and deliver products. Maintains drivers log according to Department of Transportation (DOT) regulations.

The director determined in her decision that the positions being offered are not temporary. However, it is the petitioner's need for the services which is controlling. Therefore, it must be shown that the petitioner's need for the beneficiaries' services is temporary.

In this case, the petitioner has not established that its need to supplement its permanent staff for one year is due to a short-term demand. In its letter dated May 20, 2002, the petitioner states that it expects the peakload season to continue through 2003. The petitioner's need for drivers to transport commodities and goods across the United States, which is the nature of the petitioner's business, will always exist. The petitioner has not shown that its need for workers in these positions is not permanent. The petitioner has not demonstrated that the nature of its need for tractor-trailer drivers is temporary in nature.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The director's decision is affirmed. The petition is denied.